

April 1, 2011

EASTER BUNNY'S BURDEN

By Chris Edwards

From Pennsylvania, bad news for chocolate lovers:

The Hershey Company says it is raising wholesale prices by 9.7% on most of its candy products. The maker of Reese's, Kit Kat, Hershey's Kisses and Twizzlers cited increased costs for raw materials, fuel, utilities and transportation.

<u>Senator Richard Lugar has introduced legislation</u> to reform U.S. sugar policies. His timing is good, as <u>world food prices are rising</u> and <u>some experts predict</u> that sugar prices will soar in coming years.

The best ways to combat rising food prices—which particular harm people with moderate incomes—are free markets, open international trade, and vigorous competition. Unfortunately, those pro-growth and progressive policies are absent in the U.S. dairy and sugar industries, which are subject to Soviet-style central planning. (See here and here).

A consultant study last year (not commissioned by Hershey) indicated that high corporate taxes are also a negative with respect to Hershey's U.S. production:

The Hershey Co. will be driven to shift more Pennsylvania manufacturing jobs overseas because of artificially high sugar prices in the U.S. market and the state's high corporate tax rate, according to a new industry cost study by The Boyd Co.

In an interview, Boyd Co. CEO John Boyd Jr. speculated that Hershey would acquire additional overseas plant capacity through its intended acquisitions in foreign markets and then shift production from its North American plants to lower-cost countries and markets.

A key part of this shift will be to avoid markedly higher sugar prices under U.S. protectionary tariffs and quotas, Boyd said. Candy companies with operations in the U.S. pay about 21 cents a pound for sugar, compared to about 9 cents a pound on the world market

Politicians talk endlessly about jobs, jobs, jobs. But job creation is thwarted in the U.S. food and candy industries by government protectionism, taxes and regulations.

I don't know the Easter Bunny's political views, but I bet he would support Senator Lugar's "Free Sugar Act" to generate more chocolate-making jobs in America.

Related Posts

- Lugar Targets Federal Sugar Racket
- Obama's Budget Means the Burden of Government Spending Will be \$2 Trillion Higher in Ten Years
- Are Farm Subsidies Progressive?
- Obama's Little Evidence Problem
- Budget Battle Update: It's About Preparing for the Inevitable Fight, not Forcing a Shutdown

Related Content from Cato.org

- Congress Should Account for the Excess Burden of Taxation
- Madison Protest: Unions Are Angry -- but Wisconsin Should Go Even Further
- The Stimulus Bill and Government Spending
- The President Chickens Out on Spending Cuts
- Cutting Spending to Revive Federalism